Pandemic in financial system and liquidity emergency by J. Idier and T. Piquard

A. Malkhozov (BIS)

Stress Testing and Macro-prudential Regulation 30 October 2015

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ □ のへぐ

Summary

- A very nice project that contributes to the growing literature that tries to map the propagation of shocks through the financial system
- Two existing approaches in the literature:
 - Posit a contagion channel (e.g. fire sales) and calibrate using balance sheet data (common exposures)
 Greenwood, Landier, and Thesmar (2015); Duarte and Eisenbach (2015)
 - Use co-movement in equity returns or CDS spreads to indirectly capture different contagion channels Acharya, Pedersen, Philippon, and Richardson (2011)

- This paper explicitly models multiple propagation channels simultaneously. The framework can be used
 - for a comprehensive quantitative exercise
 - to disentangle the contribution of different channels
 - to evaluate alternative policies

Comment 1: The fire sales channel

- Previous papers have focused on the fire sales channel alone
- Glasserman and Young (2014) show that direct exposures and pure counterparty domino effects are unlikely to be as quantitatively important as contagion through assets
- By degrading collateral values, fire sales impose a cost on the financial system that is not internalised by financial institutions; this (pecuniary) externality justifies macro-prudential regulation

• How important is this channel in the model?

Comment 2: Interbank market runs

- The authors introduce the interbank market that plays 2 roles:
 - leverage constraint, similar to Greenwood et al. (2015)
 - interbank exposures
- One view of the financial crisis: run on the money markets e.g. Gorton and Metrick (2009)
- Coordination problem rather than domino effect
- Is this the right framework to think about the interbank market freeze?

Comment 3: Default and threshold effects

- Relative to e.g. Greenwood et al. (2015), who model deleveraging dynamics, the paper introduces bank defaults
- In the model defaults have an effect in addition to direct losses: asset liquidation and an (exogenous) shift in asset correlations

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●

· Perhaps not surprising the model exhibits "threshold effects"

Comment 4: Policy implications

- It will be interesting to understand the effect of the following policies in the framework proposed by the authors
- Bank mergers: can increase the interconnectedness through common exposures but perhaps limits the likelihood of default

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ● ● ● ● ●

- Selective ("optimal") capital injections to increase the cost-effectiveness of interventions
- Optimal timing of interventions?

Conclusion

• A very promising project!

